

http://conversation.lausanne.org/en/resources/detail/11298#article_page_5

Cape Town 2010 Advance Paper

Muslim Followers of Jesus?

Author: Joseph Cumming

Date: 18.10.2010

Category: World Faiths

Rate (3) Currently 4.00/5 Favorite (3) Recommend Share Recommend To My Group:
Select one: Cape Town 2010 Congress Participants Close

Translations Available Translations: 7 Translations English (*) Portuguese Russian Spanish Chinese French German

Originally Posted in English

Submit New Translation

About translation

Editor's Note: This Cape Town 2010 Advance Paper has been written by Joseph Cumming as an overview of the topic to be discussed at the Multiplex session on Islam. Responses to this paper through the Lausanne Global Conversation will be fed back to the author and others to help shape their final presentations at the Congress.

Can one be a Muslim AND a follower of Jesus? Is that an oxymoron? How should Bible-believing Christians evaluate this?

In 1979 my best friend decided he saw himself not as a "Christian," but as a "Messianic Jew." John came from a secular Jewish background and was actually a practicing Hindu before he met Jesus. Then, for three years he was active in a Bible-believing Christian church. But now John felt called to reconnect with his Jewish roots, join a Messianic synagogue, keep a kosher home and raise his children Jewish. He saw no contradiction between following Jesus as Messiah and identifying - ethnically and religiously - as Jewish.

Like most Christians in the 1970's, I initially reacted with skepticism, quoting biblical texts I thought rejected kashrut as contrary to our liberty in Christ. I gradually learned that those texts could be understood differently, and came to respect the legitimacy of the fledgling Messianic movement. But not before I hurt

my friend by my hostility to his effort to explore his identity as a Jewish follower of Jesus.

The wider Jewish community also reacted negatively. Most saw Messianic Judaism as simply repackaging centuries-old Christian efforts to convert Jews, destroying Jewish identity. To them Messianic Jews were not Jews at all. Recently, however, some Jewish leaders and scholars have cautiously suggested that Messianic Jews who faithfully observe Torah and halakha, who participate constructively in the life of the Jewish community, and who pass on Jewish traditions to their children, are in error but must be recognized as fellow-Jews.

In the 1980's a similar movement began among Muslims who had come to faith in Christ. These were Muslims who trusted Jesus as Lord and divine Savior, believed Jesus died for their sins and rose again, and insisted this did not make them ex-Muslims or converts to the Christian religion. They wanted to remain within their Muslim community, honoring Jesus in that context.

Reactions in both Muslim and Christian communities have varied widely. On the Muslim side some have been persecuted for their beliefs, while others are cautiously accepted within their communities. On the Christian side defenders see them as "Messianic Muslims" whom we should accept - just as we accept Messianic Jews - as authentic disciples of Jesus. Critics argue that Islam and Judaism are different - that Muslim identity cannot be reconciled with biblical faith.

When Nabil (1) had a life-transforming encounter with Jesus, he remained within the Muslim community, participating in Muslim prayers. As his love for Jesus became known to family and friends, some followed his example, but others actually attempted to murder him. After being imprisoned for his beliefs, he decided he no longer considered himself a Muslim. He saw Islam as the system responsible for persecuting him. Today Nabil considers himself a Christian. But some who followed him in faith still see themselves as Muslims.

Ibrahim was a well-respected scholar of the Qur'an, a Hafiz. When he decided to follow Jesus, he closely examined the Qur'anic verses commonly understood as denying the Trinity, denying Jesus' divine Sonship, denying Jesus' atoning death, and denying the textual integrity of the Bible. He concluded that each of these verses was open to alternate interpretations, and that he could therefore follow Jesus as a Muslim. Soon members of his family and community came to share his faith in Jesus as Lord and Savior. Ibrahim was also imprisoned for his faith, but unlike Nabil, Ibrahim still wanted to follow Jesus as a Muslim. Nonetheless some

whom he led to Jesus no longer see themselves as Muslims. Ibrahim and Nabil are friends and respect each other as brothers, though they disagree about their identity.

As Christians from other cultures meet believers like Nabil and Ibrahim, they have mixed reactions. Phil Parshall and John Travis have, between them, worked more than 60 years among Muslims, and they respectfully disagree with each other. They published a series of articles in missiological journals, setting forth points on which they differ. Numerous articles by others have followed.

In technical terms this is known as the “C4-C5 debate,” drawing on a scale designed by Travis to describe various Christ-centered communities (“C”) with which Muslim-background believers in Jesus (MBBs) identify, and the ways in which they understand their identity:

C1 - MBBs in churches radically different from their own culture, where worship is in a language other than their mother tongue

C2 - Same as C1, but worship is in the MBBs’ mother tongue

C3 - MBBs in culturally indigenous Christian churches which avoid cultural forms seen as “Islamic”

C4 - MBBs in culturally indigenous congregations which retain biblically permissible “Islamic” forms (e.g. prostrating in prayer), investing these with biblical meaning. They may call themselves something other than “Christians” (e.g. “followers of Jesus”), but do not see themselves as Muslims.

C5 - Muslims who follow Jesus as Lord and Savior in fellowships of likeminded believers within the Muslim community, continuing to identify culturally and officially as Muslims

C6 - Secret/underground believers

The most vigorous disagreement is between C4 and C5 advocates. To help readers understand the issues, I’ll set forth here concerns expressed by C1-C4 advocates troubled by C5. Then I’ll summarize responses from C5 defenders. These concerns and responses are in quotation marks to make clear that these are others’ views, not necessarily my own. After these I’ll add my own comments.

C4 concern: “Scripture (e.g. 1Ki 18:21; 2Ki 17:27-41) condemns syncretism. Trying

to be both Muslims and followers of Jesus is syncretistic.”

C5 response: “This is not the syncretism Scripture condemns. C5 believers live under the authority of the Bible (e.g. 1Cor 9:19-23; Acts 16:1-3; 21:20-40), reinterpreting or rejecting anything contrary to Scripture.”

Comment: Both sides of this discussion have done serious exegetical work in Scripture which they believe supports their view. It is impossible to do justice to either side in this article. Readers would do well to examine articles in the journals EMQ and IJFM where the biblical issues are set forth.

C4 concern: “Islam and Judaism are different: one cannot compare ‘Messianic Islam’ with Messianic Judaism. The Hebrew Scriptures are recognized by Christians as inspired; the Qur’an is not. The mosque is pregnant with Islamic theology which explicitly denies biblical truths.”

C5 response: “Islam and Judaism are different, but both are monotheistic. Islam recognizes the Torah and New Testament as Scripture alongside the Qur’an. Rabbinic Judaism sees as authoritative not just the Hebrew Scriptures (Tanakh) but also the Talmud, which, like the Qur’an, contains a mixture of material compatible and incompatible with the New Testament. Traditional synagogue liturgy also seems to repudiate New Testament teachings, but both liturgies can be reinterpreted, and attendance at prayers does not necessarily mean affirming every word of liturgy.”

Comment: The term “Messianic Islam” is unhelpful. For Jews the messiahship of Jesus is a watershed issue, whereas Muslims recognize Jesus as Messiah but raise other objections to Christian beliefs about Jesus. Most Muslims believe the text of the Bible has been corrupted, but some Muslim scholars disagree. C5 believers affirm the Bible as God’s Word. Sacred texts must be examined closely, considering whether proposed interpretations are legitimate and honest.

C4 concern: “The C5 approach is deceitful. How would you feel if Muslims showed up at your church claiming to be Christians, then tried to convert your people to Islam?”

C5 response: “It is not deceitful if C5 believers are transparent with the Muslim community about who they are and what they believe. C5 believers honestly see themselves as Muslims, not as ‘Christians pretending to be Muslims.’”

Comment: Remember: Travis’s scale describes how believers born and raised as Muslims see their identity, not how cross-cultural Christian workers see

themselves.

C4 concern: "The Muslim community won't tolerate such aberrant Muslims within their ranks."

C5 response: "It's too soon to be certain of that."

Comment: The Muslim community can speak for themselves. When I have discussed this with Muslim leaders, their primary concern has been whether these people continue to practice the moral and ritual requirements of the Muslim community with which they identify.

C4 concern: "To call oneself 'Muslim' is to affirm Muhammad as a true Prophet of God. That is incompatible with the Bible."

C5 response: "Actually 'Muslim' means different things to different Muslims. C5 believers have a variety of views about Muhammad, including: 1) one can be culturally Muslim without any theological affirmation about Muhammad; 2) Muhammad was a prophet, but not always infallible (cf. 1Thes 5:20-21 and Caiaphas in John 11:51); 3) Muhammad was a prophet for Arabs, but not for other peoples; 4) Muhammad was a true Prophet whose words have been misinterpreted; 5) this question is unimportant either way."

Comment: For the overwhelming majority of Muslims, the Prophethood of Muhammad is non-negotiably essential to Muslim identity. But the word "Muslim" (literal Arabic meaning: "submitted to God") does mean different things in different contexts. The Qur'an calls Jesus' first disciples "Muslims" (Q3:52). In some societies "Muslim" and "Christian" refer more to ethnicity than to religious beliefs.

C4 concern: "C5 MBBs retain Muslim identity to avoid persecution for the Cross of Christ."

C5 response: "That's unfair judging of motives. The issue is religiocultural identity, not the Cross of Christ, which C5 believers affirm."

Comment: If C5 believers are trying to avoid persecution, it isn't working. Many have been terribly persecuted, suffering imprisonment and worse for their convictions.

C4 concern: "What about the Church? Do C5 believers see themselves as part of Christ's Body?"

C5 response: "C5 believers form Christ-centered fellowships in which they study the Bible, pray, and celebrate baptism and the Lord's Supper. These are ekklesiai in the New Testament sense, though they may look very different from what Christians usually call 'churches.'"

Comment: Studying and obeying Scripture helps local fellowships be holy and apostolic. But Scripture also calls fellowships to recognize the unity and universality of the worldwide Body of Christ. Some C5 fellowships, and some workers partnering with them, have very negative views or broken relationships toward non-MBB churches. Other C5 fellowships have healthy attitudes toward the wider Church.

C4 concern: "I have heard some C5 groups have sloppy Christology. This alarms me."

C5 response: "Some C5 believers do have fuzzy Christology, but so do ordinary Christians everywhere. What matters is C5 believers' direction of movement - toward Jesus Christ. They are praying in his Name, worshiping him as Lord, experiencing his supernatural working in their lives. With each passing day their Christology moves higher."

Comment: That seems reasonable for new believers. But as this movement grows and its leaders mature, one hopes those leaders will understand sound Christology and articulate it in terms intelligible to their flock. Sensitivity to direction of movement is right, but only with clarity about the ultimate destination of that movement - toward Jesus Christ, not only as Savior and sin-bearing Lamb, but as eternal uncreated Logos, God manifest in human flesh.

Some thoughts regarding identity: C5 believers like Ibrahim challenge assumptions about what it means to be "Muslim" or "Christian." We all have more than one identity. For example, most American Christians assume one can be both a patriotic American and a faithful Christian, though they may disagree with some things their government or fellow-citizens do. Believers like Ibrahim seek to be both authentic Muslims and faithful disciples of Jesus, critically evaluating what their fellow-Muslims do and teach in light of the teachings of Christ - sometimes accepting, sometimes reinterpreting, sometimes disagreeing. Do such disagreements require American believers to repudiate American identity or C5 believers to repudiate Muslim identity? How can each best be "critically loyal" to the community of their birth and to their family heritage, respectfully critiquing what is unscriptural, while upholding God's Commandment to "Honor your father and

your mother”?

Ever since the Wesleyan revival and Great Awakening of the 18th century, evangelicals have insisted that what matters most to God is not one’s identity as “being a Christian,” but rather whether one has a life-transforming relationship with Jesus Christ. David Brainerd was expelled from Yale in 1742 for remarking that a certain faculty member (a loyal “Christian”) had “no more grace than this chair,” because he had not experienced personal relationship with Jesus.

Does it follow that it is totally unimportant for believers to call themselves “Christians”? With Messianic Jews, the evangelical community mostly accepts that the label “Christian” is not essential. Is the same true for C5 believers, or is Islam too radically different? If the latter, then what specific differences between the Jewish and Muslim communities prompt us to accept one and reject the other?

Let me close with a plea from my own heart. In recent months this debate has grown acrimonious. Muslim-background believers like Nabil and Ibrahim are mostly unable to participate directly in the discussion, because doing so would expose them to further persecution beyond what they have already suffered. Instead Christians from non-Muslim backgrounds are holding a debate over their heads, anathematizing first Ibrahim, then Nabil. But Nabil and Ibrahim themselves respect each other as brothers and are able to disagree in love.

As for me, remembering how I hurt my Jewish friend in 1979, I want to be very careful about hurtfully rejecting brothers who have already suffered rejection and prison for Jesus. Jesus said: “Whoever comes to me I will never drive away.”

As Nabil and Ibrahim understand their position in the universal Body of Christ, they must listen to counsel from others around the world. But if we understand our position in that same Body, then we must respect their fundamental human right to sort out – under the authority of Scripture – how they express their identity as followers of Christ. It is they whose lives are quite literally on the line. If they can respect each other after suffering prison for Jesus, then surely we can treat them both with respect.

© The Lausanne Movement 2010

1. Because Nabil and others in this article have faced prison and murder attempts for their beliefs, this article uses pseudonyms for their protection.